UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
V. Joseph Mark Williams, Jr. Defendant	Case No. 1:17-mj-00193-ESC				
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R that the defendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require				
	Findings of Fact				
	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of				
	e that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had				
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.					
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.					
an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:					
	*				
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.					
any felony that is not a crime of violence but i	involves:				
a minor victim the possession or use of a fireari a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .C. § 2250				
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state				
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).				
	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.				
Alternat	ive Findings (A)				
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense					
for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in: Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) .*					
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.				
Alternative Findings (B)					
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	•				
✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar					
	of the Reasons for Detention				
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant presents a danger to the community. 2. The evidence in support of Defendant's exploitation of a c 3. Defendant and his codefendant girlfriend both live alone. 4. The Court highly doubts whether either defendant would c					
	ons Regarding Detention				

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	June 28, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	